

REMARKS


Claim 1 has certain explicit requirements. Among other things, it requires a first radio frequency network and a second radio frequency network. The claim makes it clear that there must be "a plurality of devices" in the first radio frequency network. Further, the second radio frequency network must include "devices." See claim 1, line 6. Thus, there must be a plurality of devices in the first radio frequency network and devices in the second radio frequency network.

Turning to the cited Walley patent, it is unclear what would be the first and the second radio frequency network. For example, if the first radio frequency network is the one shown on the cover of the patent then it would apparently include a plurality of devices in the form of handsets HS1, HS2, and HS3. That being so, one asks where is the second radio frequency network that includes devices? It appears that there is no such second radio frequency network.

Therefore, it is not seen how the claim could possibly be read on the cited reference and reconsideration is respectfully requested. Similarly, reconsideration of the rejection of claims 21 and 11 is requested on the same basis.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 14, 2006



Timothy M. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation